Form PTO-447A
(Rev. 7-98)

Staple to face of Application

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 10086051

Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY

Name **FRANK, ELLIOT L** Date 3/25/2003

TO: Art Unit 2857 Class/sub 702/82

FROM: A.U. 2121 Class

REASON:
No process control feedback from defect analysis required for 700/110. Claims directed to monitoring defects in process and recording/sorting results.

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC

By: _____ A.U. Date _____

NOT ACCEPTED ☐ Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier

REASON:

Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

☐ This dispute was resolved.

Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub / Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Concurring _____

☐ This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Post Classifier Assessment:

Gatekeeper concurrence _____

Post Classifier _____ Date _____

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Date _____

Panel Decision:

Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub /

REASON:

Panel Member _____

Concurring Panel Member _____

forward to 2812 for clr 438 IC second amount contra w/tns

PTO-447A
(-98)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 10086051

I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY   Name **FRANK, ELLIOT L** Date 3/25/2003

Unit **2857** Class/sub **702/82**   FROM: A.U. **2121** Class _____

control feedback from defect analysis required for 700/110. Claims directed to monitoring defects in process and rting results.

concurrence _____

---

ion IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC   By _____ A.U. 2857 Date 4-2-03

'OT ACCEPTED ☒ Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier

EASON: Many the binary process - appears more appropriate to class 700

---

ection IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

| This dispute was resolved.   Forward to TC/AU _____ Class/Sub _____ / _____ Post Classifier _____
                                                                                             Date _____
                                                                                        Concurring _____

| This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

ost Classifier Assessment:

atekeeper concurrence _____   Post Classifier _____ Date _____

---

ection III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL   Date _____

anel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit _____ Class/sub _____ / _____

EASON:

anel Member _____   Concurring Panel Member _____

3de to 2100 — No reason for 600 to have this case.

Wendy Garber